

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,719 10/10/2001		Frederick M. Ausubel	00786/361003	1062	
21559 75	590 12/12/2003		EXAMINER		
CLARK & ELBING LLP			NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK		
101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/975	719	AUSUBEL ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Mark N	·	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
,—	•	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•					
5)	Claim(s) 1 and 44-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,44-47 and 50-52 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 48 and 49 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
, -	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen	nt(s)		_					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 44-51 and further drawn to SEQ ID NO: 252 in the response filed November 7, 2003 is acknowledged.

It is noted that Applicants refer to SEQ ID NO: 252 as a species election.

However, no such species requirement was made. Page 3 of the restriction mailed

October 2, 2003 clearly sets forth that this "is NOT" an election of species. As set forth in MPEP 803.04, biological molecules having a different sequence are different inventions. Consequently, Applicants have been restricted to a single sequence, further sequences will not be considered in this application.

Claims 1, and 44-52 are pending in the instant application, of which claims 1, 44-47, and 50-52 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1, line 1, continuing data statement refers to 09/199,637 as pending, however this application has been patented and the status should reflect this change.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1645

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

Claims 48-49 are directed to a substantially pure polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence that is substantially identical to the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide encoded by SEQ ID NO: 252, or is identical to the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide encoded by SEQ ID NO: 252.

The specification and claims do not indicate what distinguishing attributes are shared by the members of the genus. Thus, the scope of the claims includes numerous structural variants, and the genus is highly variant because a significant number of structural differences between genus members is permitted. Since the disclosure fails to describe the common attributes or characteristics that identify members of the genus, and because the genus is highly variant, SEQ ID NO: 252 alone is insufficient to describe the genus. Thus, Applicant's have not described a function which is shared by the substantially identical polypeptides which would adequately describe the genus. One of skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the disclosure fails to provide a representative number of species to describe the genus. Thus, applicant was not in possession of the claimed genus.

Art Unit: 1645

Furthermore, Applicants have claimed a protein encoded by SEQ ID NO: 252 without identifying a full length open reading frame. The isolated DNA strand (SEQ ID NO: 252) has multiple reading frames, Applicants have not identified a start codon or a stop codon of the protein being claimed. Consequently, Applicants have not identified a function which identifies members of the genus, since the structure of the protein cannot be fully determined without a start and stop codon for the full length protein.

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and a reference to a potential method of isolating it. The protein itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ 2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Lts.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Applicants are directed to the Revised Interim Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 244, pages 71427-71440, Tuesday December 21, 1999.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3225. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 1645

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Mark Navarro
Primary Examiner

December 9, 2003